UNIVERSITY of **HOUSTON**

CULLEN COLLEGE of ENGINEERING Department of Biomedical Engineering

Reward and force modulation of neurons in the primate primary somatosensory cortex (S1)

Introduction

We analyzed spike data recorded from the primary somatosensory cortex (S1) of two Nonhuman Primates (NHP), Monkey S and P. Data was recorded during a value cued grip force task performed by the NHPs where they had to apply and maintain a cued level of grip force on a force transducer to enable a virtual robot arm to pick up and transport an object. Juice was given for successful completion of rewarding trials.



Fig 1. Diagram of the Grip force task showing each phase

Task Description

There were two blocks of data for each NHP: ➤ Cued

- A visual cue (green square) indicated rewarding trials. The absence of a cue indicated a non-rewarding trial.

- ➤ Uncued
 - No cue provided regardless of trial type.
- Each block contained rewarding (R1) and non-rewarding (R0) trial types.

- arm reaches the target automatically.
- non-rewarding successful trials did not.

Data analysis

- and spike rate was measured for all units.
- using 500ms post result spiking activity.
- were examined further.
- blocks were identified [1].



Fig 2. Raster plots showing spikining activity around cue and reward delivery periods for cued and non-cued trials. The smoothened spike rate is below each raster plot. The star on the plots represents the significance for reward level.

Md Moin Uddin Atique¹, Joseph Thachil Francis¹ ¹Biomedical Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX

1. A target object appears, the simulated robotic

2. The NHP applies and maintains grip force during grasp and transport to complete the task.

3. Successful completion of a rewarding trial results in a delivery of juice to the NHP, where

Force Significance: The significance (F-test, p-value< 0.05) between the applied grip force

Reward Significance: We detected significant units (t-test, p-value < 0.05) related to reward

Significant units common to both force and reward during cued and non-cued blocks

Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) was applied to observe force prediction accuracy. Units that had a significantly (F-test, p-value) <0.05) different tuning curve between rewarding and non-rewarding trials in cued

Results

Raster Plots for Force



Fig 3. Raster plots during Force onset and offset for cued and uncued blocks, including mean force. The smoothed spike rate is plotted for R0 and R1 trials. The significant difference between rewarding and non-rewarding tuning curves is represented with the star symbol.

Units Significant for Force and Reward

- Monkey S had 53 units that showed significance for both force and reward.
- Monkey P had 22 units that showed significance for force and reward.

Force Prediction

Block Type	Monkey S	Monkey P
Cued	0.86	0.83
Uncued	0.83	0.82

Table 1. R-square values between predicted and actual force for cued and non-cued blocks.



Fig 4. An example showing predicted force using MLR and applied grip force for monkey S and P.





Fig 5. Examples of tuning curves from two units, one from each monkey, having significantly different curves between cued and non-cued rewarding and nonrewarding trials.

	Monkey S	Monkey P
Number of units	21	30

Table 2. The number of units with significantly different tuning curves between rewarding and non-rewarding trials.

Conclusions

- S1 units accurately represent grip force.
- S1 units that encode force are also by modulated by reward.
- The representation of the force can be modulated through reward expectation.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the NIH 1R01NS092894-01, NSF IIS-1527558 and NYS SCIRB contracts C30600GG and C030838GG.

References

1. Zhao, Y., Hessburg, J.P., Kumar, J.N.A. and Francis, J.T., 2018. Paradigm shift in sensorimotor control research and brain machine interface control: the influence of context on sensorimotor representations. Frontiers in neuroscience, 12.